

Annex B

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 1) ORDER 2018 Kennel Lane – Extension of ‘Prohibition of Waiting’ Restrictions		
Date Advertised:	12.09.18	No. of Objections Received:	1 objection

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
X X XXXXXX XX Kennel Lane	<p>X & X XXXXXX state that they would be directly affected by the proposals as they often park in the proposed area albeit halfway on the footway.</p> <p>X objects on two points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) the proposal will reduce the number of available spaces by 2. Kennel Lane does not have residents parking and on-street parking has increased since its introduction; ii) the parking in this position acts like ‘traffic calming’ as X often hears cars turn into Kennel Lane and accelerate hard down the road. <p>If the proposal goes ahead, X XXXXXX asks if the following could be considered;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) the parking lay-by be extended to accommodate the two lost spaces; ii) traffic calming is installed on the street to make it safer. 	<p>The Council has received a number of requests for the extension of the double yellow lines at this location since the proposal was first discussed earlier this year.</p> <p>These restrictions will displace a maximum of two vehicles however the improvement to road safety on the approach to the junction and maintaining highway that is passable by all vehicles outweighs the negative aspects caused by these restrictions.</p> <p>Bracknell Forest Council, working with Silva Homes, have an annual programme of installing extra parking areas. Whilst there have been some areas identified in Kennel Lane where extra parking could be provided there is currently no timescale or budget for this work. Additionally due to the presence of statutory undertakers equipment in the existing footway it is unlikely that the existing lay-by could be extended.</p> <p>In 2016 the Council carried out a speed survey in Kennel Lane which recorded 85 percentile speeds (the average speed at which 85% of the vehicles were travelling) of approximately 27mph. Based on this it is considered the existing speed limit of 30mph is appropriate and there are no plans for the introduction of speed reduction measures at this time.</p>	Proceed as advertised.

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

The Priestwood & Garth ward members (Cllr Mrs T McKenzie-Boyle, Cllr A Finch & Cllr G Birch) stated that they are supportive of the waiting restriction proposals in view of the road safety benefits whilst acknowledging the loss of parking spaces.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 2) ORDER 2018		
Cross Gates Close – Extension of ‘Prohibition of Waiting’ Restrictions			
Date Advertised:	12.09.18	No. of Objections Received:	1

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
X XXX XX Cross Gates Close	<p>The resident states that the introduction of this change will result in cars parking elsewhere and due to the lack of restrictions this is likely to be in front of the drives to nos XX & XX. The resident adds that cars park in this position at the moment and it will get worse if the proposed restrictions are introduced.</p> <p>The resident states that the drives of XX & XX are regularly impeded and local Cllrs are aware of this issue.</p> <p>The resident concludes by asking if the proposals are installed then similar restrictions are installed across the driveways of nos XX & XX.</p>	<p>During a number of site visits (including evenings & weekends), in assessing the need for the proposed restrictions, no cars were seen parked in position that would have obstructed the driveways of nos XX & XX.</p> <p>Prior to the statutory consultation, discussions were held with local Council Members on the proposals and the issue of parking adjacent to the pedestrian walkway and the shared driveway of nos XX & XX was looked at. It was agreed to install an ‘Access Protection Marking’ across the pedestrian walkway and shared driveway (to nos XX & XX). This consists of white line marking designed to highlight the presence of the access to motorists and deter them from parking across it. It is intended that this will be installed at the same time as the double yellow lines.</p> <p>As this is a statutory procedure we are unable to introduce additional restrictions other than those shown in the proposal. However, as with all waiting restriction schemes the Council will monitor the highway after installation to assess if displaced vehicles do result in obstruction or road safety problems in surrounding roads, restrictions can be considered at that time.</p>	Proceed as advertised.

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr Mrs A Merry & Cllr Mrs I Mattick have both raised concerns about the impact of the restrictions on existing parking issues in the turning head adjacent to XX/XX Cross Gates Close. They have also questioned why other roads in the area have parking restrictions in turning heads but this particular one does not.

The Council responded by stating that with regards to restrictions in other roads, the overriding principle in the installation of the parking restrictions in Martins Heron & Forest Park was to target areas where it was felt that to allow parking would cause a significant obstruction to other road users or would be to the detriment of road safety in that area. It is for the reasons of obstruction that we have promoted the extension of the double yellow lines in Cross Gates Close.

They added that parking in the area would be monitored and further parking measures would be pursued should they be necessary. Additionally, we will be installing the 'Access Protection Marking' across both the pedestrian access and shared driveway to nos. XX & XX.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 2) ORDER 2018		
Horatio Avenue – 'Prohibition of Waiting" Restrictions			
Date Advertised:	12.09.18	No. of Objections Received:	3

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
X XXXXXX XXXXXXXX XX Horatio Avenue,	<p>X XXXXXX strongly objects to the double yellow lines proposed in the vicinity nos. 70-84 Horatio Avenue. X states that X understands the reason for the proposal but X does not consider them necessary and could result in additional traffic/parking problems along Horatio Avenue.</p> <p>X adds that the length of carriageway where the lines are proposed is very lightly parked and then usually only by delivery vehicles or visitors for a short period of time. X states X has not experienced any issues with inconsiderate parking in this area in the X years X has lived there.</p> <p>X states that there are areas where inconsiderate parking cause issues for residents and X is concerned that removing parking opposite X house will exacerbate the issues elsewhere.</p>	<p>Whilst carrying out site visits, on-street parking in this area has been witnessed which results in cars exiting the off parking courtyard on the wrong side of the road. The Council received an enquiry from a local resident reporting obstructive parking which was subsequently witnessed on our site visits</p> <p>Objections received have stated that there is very light parking in this area, and that it is occasionally used by delivery vehicles and / or visitors. Therefore the introduction of formal restrictions should result in very little displacement of on-street parking.</p> <p>The proposal is to introduce 20m of waiting restriction which still allow some areas for on street parking to take place.</p>	Proceed as advertised.
X XXXXXX XXXXXXXX XX Horatio Avenue	<p>X XXXXXX would like to strongly object to the proposals to install double yellow lines opposite nos. 70 – 84 Horatio Avenue.</p> <p>X adds that X has lived in Horatio Avenue for a number of years and has never felt the need for this type of restriction. X states that the whole estate (Julius Hill, Shakespeare Way etc) does not have double yellow lines and X has never witnessed any accidents.</p> <p>X has spoken to six of X neighbours and they all agree that the imposition of these restrictions is not necessary.</p>	<p>Whilst carrying out site visits, on-street parking in this area has been witnessed which results in cars exiting the off parking courtyard on the wrong side of the road. The Council received an enquiry from a local resident reporting obstructive parking which was subsequently witnessed on our site visits</p> <p>Objections received have stated that there is very light parking in this area, and that it is occasionally used by delivery vehicles and / or visitors. Therefore the introduction of formal restrictions should result in very little displacement of on-street parking.</p> <p>The proposal is to introduce 20m of waiting restriction which still allow some areas for on street parking to take place.</p>	Proceed as advertised.

X X XXXXX	<p>X XXXXX asks how many objections we have received and what grounds the proposals are being made. X states there is no traffic obstruction by any vehicle parked on the road. X adds that obstructions occur temporarily when delivery vehicles park there.</p> <p>X XXXXX states X has been a resident for X years and X objects to the proposals as none of the residents' family of friends would be able to visit and park their car.</p> <p>X XXXXX believes more evidence should be supplied before acting for the 'few' and not the 'many'.</p>	<p>Whilst carrying out site visits, on-street parking in this area has been witnessed which results in cars exiting the off parking courtyard on the wrong side of the road. The Council received an enquiry from a local resident reporting obstructive parking which was subsequently witnessed on our site visits</p> <p>Objections received have stated that there is very light parking in this area, and that it is occasionally used by delivery vehicles and / or visitors. Therefore the introduction of formal restrictions should result in very little displacement of on-street parking.</p> <p>The proposal is to introduce 20m of waiting restriction which still allow some areas for on street parking to take place.</p>	Proceed as advertised.
-----------	--	--	-------------------------------

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr C Thompson replied – ‘If the proposal is considered advisable from the point of view of highway safety then I would not oppose it.. Subject to that the response is limited and the responses received oppose the yellow lines. On balance I am inclined to support the objectors appreciating that my fellow ward members may have a different view’

Cllr Barnard emailed his agreement with Cllr Thompson

Council Officers responded – ‘Based on the original enquiry, and the evidence of parking we observed before proposing the restrictions, we believe that restrictions preventing parking in the direct vicinity of the chicane would be of benefit to road safety. The parking we observed was directly around the chicane resulting in the Transport Engineering team receiving a direct complaint from a resident. We appreciate that we have received three objections from nearby resident's, but we still believe that the restrictions would be of benefit as parking around the traffic calming chicane is not ideal and can cause confusion and conflict for approaching drivers. The situation is exacerbated by the curve in the road and the proximity to the shared private driveway. When exiting the driveway and turning right, the visibility to the right is limited, if there are vehicles parked on the approach to the chicane, vehicles exiting this driveway need to turn right and pass the chicane before they can get back to the correct side, However vehicles approaching the chicane in the other direction have the priority. This proposal allows vehicles to turn out and stop at the give way marking if required. It would also allow vehicles approaching the chicane past the driveway to pull into the give way line if they find another vehicle approaching around the bend in front. The proposal is for 20m of waiting restriction which will only prevent parking for 3 vehicles, which will still be able to park elsewhere within Horatio Avenue.’

In response Cllrs Barnard & Thompson noted the Officers comments and withdrew their concerns over the proposals and objections.